6a

(Saddha) Jāņussoņi Sutta

The Discourse to Jānussoni (on Ancestor Worship) | A 10.177 Chinese \bar{A} gama $S\bar{A}$ 1041 = T2.272b8-272c17 Theme: Who can benefit from dedication of merit? Translated by Piya Tan ©2003; rev 2010

1 The brahmin Jānussoni

1.1 Jānussoni was a brahmin of great wealth, often associated with other distinguished brahmins like Cankī, Tārukkha, Pokkhara, sātī and Todevya (D 13,2/1:235; Sn p115). He was a follower and great admirer of the Buddha. He had the habit of talking with well known teachers of other schools and listening to their opinions of the Buddha, either to compare them with his own faith in the Buddha or to discover their views. Two such well known conversations are with Todeyya, putta (M 100/2:209) and the other with Pilotika (M 27/1:175 ff).

1.2 Jānussoni's home town was Sāvatthī, where he often visited the Buddha at Jetavana, consulting him on a wide range of issues. He reported his conversation with Pilotika to the Buddha who elaborated on it as the Cūla Hatthi, padôpama Sutta (M 27/1:175 ff). Jānussoni also occasioned the Buddha to teach **the Bhaya, bheraya Sutta** (M 4/1:16 ff). Among other topics that he raised with the Buddha were:

 eternalism and annihilationism 	S 12.47/2:76 f;
• the results of karma	A 2.7/1:56;
 nirvana in this life 	A 3.55/ 1:157;
• the brahmins of the three knowledges	A 3.59/1:166;
• the fearlessness of death	A 4.184/2:173;
• the ideals of various classes of people	A 6.52/3:362; and
• true celibacy	A 7.47/4:54.

- 1.3 He had a white chariot with a white canopy, silver fittings and white trappings, and drawn by four pure white mares, with white reins and goad. He would ride in this chariot dressed in white garments, turban, and sandals, and fanned by a white fan. Understandably his chariot was considered the finest in all Sāvatthī (S 5:4 f; cf M 1:175, 2:208).
- 1.4 According to Buddhaghosa, "Jāṇussoṇi" was not his personal name, but a title he was given as chaplain to the king of Kosala. He accounts for a whole chapter in the Samyutta—the Jānussoni Vagga —in the Book of Tens (dasaka nipāta) of the Anguttara Nikāya. In the (Brāhmana) Jānussoni Sutta (A 3.59), he declares that religious sacrifices and offerings should be made to the brahmins, but the Buddha answers that only "those with the three knowledges" (te,vijja) are worthy of such offerings, and goes on to elaborate. Jānussoni then takes refuge as a lay-follower (A 3.59/1:166-168).

1.5 SUTTA HIGHLIGHTS

1.5.1 The (Saddha) Jāṇussoṇi Sutta is basically about the dedication of merit (erroneously called "transference")² [3]. Jānussoni asks the Buddha about the efficacy of giving, that is, whether the departed

30

¹ "Faith," saddhā. There are 2 kinds of faith (saddhā): (1) "rootless faith" (amūlaka,saddhā), baseless or irrational faith, blind faith. (M 2:170); (2) "faith with a good cause" (ākāravati, saddhā), faith founded on seeing (M 1:320,8 401,23); also called avecca-p,pasāda (S 12.41.11/2:69). "Wise faith" is syn with (2). Amūlaka = "not seen, not heard, not suspected" (V 2:243 3:163 & Comy). Gethin speaks of two kinds of faith: the cognitive and the affective (eg ERE: Faith & Jayatilleke, Early Buddhist Theory of Knowledge, 1963:387); "Faith in its cognitive dimension is seen as concerning belief in propositions or statements of which one does not—or perhaps cannot—have knowledge proper (however that should be defined); cognitive faith is a mode of knowing in a different category from that knowledge. Faith is its affective dimension is a more straightforward positive response to trust or confidence towards something or somebody...the conception of $saddh\bar{a}$ in Buddhist writings appears almost, if not entirely affective, the cognitive element is completely secondary." (Gethin 2001:107; my emphases).

On the misconception of "transference of merit," see SD 2.6a (3). On the levels of religious language, see Neyy'-

attha Nīt'attha S (A 2.3.4-5/1:60), SD 2.6b.

would receive any offerings made to them. The Buddha answers by saying that only the "departed" (*peta*) can receive such offerings and, in fact, need the merits of such wholesome deeds.

1.5.2 In connection with the brahminical giving to their departed (*peta*), the Buddha tells Jāṇussoṇī, "For, brahmin, while he [the departed] remains there, it *is* a place where [it *is* possible that] the giving would accrue to him." [§6.2]. This is an <u>irony</u> (which Jāṇussoṇi) might not at first notice. What the Buddha is effectively saying is that the departed (in the brahminical belief and practice) "remains there," that is, as pretas.

In **the Tirokuḍḍa Sutta** (Khp 7 = Pv 1.5), however, the merits of the offerings are dedicated to the pretas, done with lovingkindness, so that "they wholeheartedly rejoice." In other words, the state of the departed is transformed into something wholesome. This means that there is a possibility for them to rise from that state for a higher rebirth, or that new good karma would be generated by them so that they are reborn into a better state soon enough.

1.5.3 Just being good is not enough

- 1.5.3.1 This Sutta also discusses why some animals lead good lives. The explanation given bear significantly on the nature of good karma (such as being generous), and how it works along with bad karma. The message is that it is not enough just being generous, but we need to be morally virtuous, too. The Sutta theme, however, is that "the giver is never fruitless" [§35.2], that is to say that, we will reap the karmic benefits of our generosity and such deeds, but the quality of life depends on our moral virtue.
- 1.5.3.2 The same message is found in **the Puñña,kiriya,vatthu Sutta** (A 8.36), which discusses <u>the 3 grounds of merit-making</u>, that is, giving $(d\bar{a}na)$, moral virtue $(s\bar{\imath}la)$ and mental cultivation $(bh\bar{a}van\bar{a})$. A person who rarely practises generosity, has little moral virtue, and *no* mental cultivation, says the Sutta, is reborn amongst humans, but into unfavourable circumstances (A 8.36,3). In a similar case, where the person does have *some* moral virtue, he is reborn as a human into *favourable* circumstances (A 8.36,4).

In the case of those of who show great generosity *and* great moral virtue, they are reborn in any of the sense-world heavens (A 8.36,5-10). The Sutta further notes that in each heaven, their celestial leader surpasses the other devas of their respective realms in 10 blessings.⁵ All this is the result of practising the 3 grounds for merit.⁶

In short, for really good heavenly rebirth, we need to have great track record of generosity, moral virtue and mental cultivation. It is moral virtue that is the decisive factor in the quality of life that we create for ourselves in due course. In other words, it is not enough just being good (such as being generous or doing social work), but we need to be morally virtuous, too (have a wholesome motive behind our actions).

2 Ancestor worship

- **2.1** The sutta opens with Jāṇussoṇi's question on what in later Hinduism came to be known as śrād-dha (P saddha), a brahminical ceremony to honour the departed, usually one's parents, grand-parents and great grand-parents. The ceremony is both socially and religiously incumbent on all male Hindu householders. Such a practice has led to the importance of the birth of sons in traditional Indian society to ensure that there will be male descendants to perform the śrāddha after ones' death.
- **2.2** The purpose of the ceremony is to spiritually nourish, protect and support the departed (Skt *pre-ta*) in their journey from the lower to higher realms, preceding their reincarnation on earth. These rites are

³ "Wholeheartedly," *sakkaccain*. PvA:BM has "respectfully." This is what empowers the departed, uplifting them from their suffering state, that is, to say, their own wholesome mental state such as by wishing their living relatives well as shown here. See SD 2.7 (1).

⁴ This sentence is salient in referring to how the preta's existential or spiritual state is positively transformed. see SD 2.7 (1).

⁵ That is, in divine lifespan, divine beauty, divine happiness, divine fame, divine lordship, divine form, divine sound, divine fragrance, divine taste, and divine touch.

⁶ A 8.36/4:241-243 @ SD22.17.

performed between the 11th and 31st days after death,⁷ depending on caste traditions, and at regular intervals thereafter. The first annual death anniversary is observed by a *śraddha* ceremony that enables the departed (*preta*) to be admitted into the assembly of forefathers (*pitr*). Buddhists, on the other hand, perform a merit-dedication ceremony on the 7th day of death and optionally yearly thereafter.

- **2.3** In addition to annual observances, the rite is also performed on special occasions, such as eclipses or at pilgrimage centres. Particular merit is attached to ceremonies performed at Gayā (where the Buddha was awakened), Allahābād and Kurukshetra. Śrāddha also serves to unite the living and the departed. Descendants of common ancestors are sometimes defined as **sa,piṇḍa**, comprising those who commensally eat piṇḍas (balls of rice offered at śrāddha feasts).⁸
- **2.4** Early Buddhism accepts the notion of *preta* (P *peta*), the departed, but they are regarded as members of a realm of suffering beings (*peta,yoni, petti,visaya, pitti,visaya*). In this sutta, we can notice a hint of the early brahmanical conception of *preta*. The notion of the suffering *peta* becomes fully developed in the late Canonical work, Peta,vatthu, and the Commentaries. Early Buddhism and other *śramaṇa* or ascetic reform groups were against such meaningless and exploitative rituals.
- **2.5** Furthermore, we should not jump into the conclusion that the Buddhist ritual of "merit transference" comes from the pre-Buddhist brahminical sacrament, as Wendy O'Flaherty cautions us:

To postulate śrāddha as the "source" of transfer of merit in Buddhism is to ignore the stark chronological fact that the śrāddha first appears in the *Grhya Sutras* roughly contemporaneous with Buddhism, and that many Vedic doctrines continued to develop under Buddhist influence. One, can of course, find earlier traces of merit transfer in Vedic texts, but it is impossible to isolate them and fix them in time. Rather than looking for one central "source" which was then embroidered by "secondary influences" like a river fed by tributary streams, it would be better to picture the intellectual fountainhead of ancient India as a watershed consisting of many streams—each an incalculably archaic source of contributing doctrines—Vedic, Ājīvaka, Jaina, Dravidian, and tribal. (Wendy O'Flaherty, Karma and Rebirth in Classical Indian Traditions, 1980:xviii)

3 "Transference" of merit?

3.1 The oldest sections of the Pali Canon—the four Nikāyas—have <u>only four references</u> that record or suggest the practice of "transference of merit." If we include the fifth Nikāya, then we might add a further reference, that of **the Tirokuḍḍa Sutta** (Kh 7). The first canonical references are found in **the Mahā,parinibbāna Sutta** (D 16), Sutta 6 of **the Pāṭali,gāma Vagga** in the Udāna (U 8.6), and **the Mahā,vagga** of the Vinaya (V 1:229)—all belong to a relatively late canonical stratum. The text runs thus: 13

⁷ According to Basham, "nowadays performed ten days after the funeral and optionally at intervals later" (1989: 18). The summary here is taken mostly from Micropaedia entry in Ency Brit (15th ed, 1983).

⁸ See eg David M Knipe, "Sapiṇḍīkarana: The Hindu rite of entry into heaven," in Frank Reynolds & Earle H Waugh (eds), Religious Encounters with Death: Insights from the history and anthropology of religions. University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State Univ Press, 1977. See http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/%C5%9Ar%C4%81ddha.

⁹ See PvA:BM 35 n60 & **Tiro,kuḍḍa S** (Khp 7), SD 2.7 n11.

¹⁰ Cf the well known but problematic statement, *pamuñcatu saddhai*n, "give up the śrāddha" (V 1:7; D 2:39; Sn 1146); see also D 1:9 (loc *saddhe*); A 5:469-273; J 2:360 (*saddhā,bhattai*n). See Āyācana S (S 6/12), SD 12.2 Intro (3.2.2).

¹¹ For a helpful evaluation of the "<u>transference of merit</u>" in Hīnayāna Buddhism, see **G Schopen**, "Two problems in the history of Indian Buddhism: The layman/monk distinction and the doctrines of the transference of merit" (ch 2 of *Bones, Stones, and Buddhist Monks*), 1997:36-43. **Alexander Wynne**, in his important essay, "How Old is the Suttapiṭaka?" says that "the idea of the transference of merit is found in only two separate occurrences in the four principle [sic] Nikāya-s" (2003:9).

¹² See next discourse, **Tiro,kudda S** (Kh 7), SD 2.7.

¹³ Yasmim padese kappeti vāsam paṇḍita,jātiko | sīlavant'ettha bhojetvā saññate brahmacāriye. || Yā tattha devatā assum* tāsam dakkhiṇam ādise | tā pūjitā pūjayanti māṇitā māṇayanti nam ||

In whatever place a wise man sets up his home,

Should here feed the virtuous and restrained who live the holy life.

To the deities there he should make offerings.

Thus revered they would revere him, thus honoured they would honour him.

They will show him compassion as a mother would her own child.

A man for whom the deities show compassion always sees good fortune.

(D 16,1.31/2:88 = U 8.6/89 = V 1:229)

- 3.2 "Offerings to the devas" ($deva,bal\bar{\imath}$) also forms one of the 5 offerings ($pa\tilde{n}ca,bal\bar{\imath}$) mentioned in the Ādiya Sutta (A 5.41/3:45 f). ¹⁴ These 5 offerings were actually traditional social duties by way of offerings to relatives and guests, civic duty to the government (paying taxes, etc.), and religious duties to the departed and the devas. These duties or offerings were not instituted by the Buddha but common non-Buddhist practices that were tolerated. ¹⁵
- **3.3** In **the Nanda,mātā Sutta** (A 7.50), Nanda,mātā of Veļukaṇṭa offers a meal to the Sangha headed by Sāriputta and Moggallāna, and dedicates the merit to Vessavaṇa, one of the 4 Great Celestial Kings: "Bhante, may the goodness through the merit of this giving be for the happiness of the great king Vessavaṇa!" Notice here that the dedication of merit is in fact a form of lovingkindness cultivation.
- **3.4** The fourth canonical text alluding to "dedication of merit" (or in this case, ancestor worship) is the Jāṇussoṇi Sutta (A 10.177/5:269-173), that is, this sutta we are studying now. ¹⁷ It is very useful here, too, to carefully examine the short but important **Neyy'attha Nīt'attha Sutta** (A 2.3.4-5) where the Buddha reminds us to make sure what level of language the sutta or text is using and understand accordingly. ¹⁸

4 Dealing with the departed

4.1 The popular conception of "hungry ghosts" and post-Buddha religious practices connected with the departed, as we have seen, have very little support from the early Canon, but mostly arose from a blend of Commentarial sources, later Buddhism and local cultures. Such notions and ceremonies have arisen from the needs and quirks of the culture and time.

From Schopen's seminal works, such as his ideas expressed in *Bones, Stones, and Buddhist Monks* (1997), we can deduce that the "transference of merit" (or more correctly, "dedication of merit") and other this-worldly rituals became common even amongst the monastics through the rise of religious materialism and laicization of the monastic members during the post-Buddha period in India. Even today, we do see, although not so commonly, monastic members performing such rituals, too.

Monastics who are well versed in the Dharma or under the tutelage of wise teachers would not see the need for rituals, or even any display of grief at the loss of parents or those who are or were close to them. At the end of their meditations or pujas, or any suitable times, they could mindfully direct their calm and clear minds to cultivating lovingkindness and dedicating merits to the deceased. After all, the monastic is a renunciant, member of a global spiritual family, and their conception of family should be broadened, too.

4.2 Religious cultures evolve and change. An important aspect of religious culture is rituals. <u>Meaningful</u> ceremonies play a vital role in our spiritual and social health. The ultimate purpose of ceremonies

Tato nam anukampanti mātā puttam va orasam | devatā 'nukampito poso sadā bhadrāni passatī ti || Walshe's tr (D:W 238) is a somewhat forced. [*Here D; but V U āsum.]

¹⁴ See **Ādiya S**, SD 2.1 Intro (2)-(4) & A 10.177.5 nn.

¹⁵ See eg Alabbhanīya Thāṇa S (A 5.48 stanzas) for the Buddha's open approach. See SD 2.1 (4).

¹⁶ Yad-idam bhante dāne puññam hitam Vessavanassa mahārājassa sukhāya hotū ti (A 7.50.2/4:65).

¹⁷ On "transference of merit" in traditional Sinhalese Buddhism, see R Gombrich, 1971a:226-242.

¹⁸ On the levels of religious language, see Neyy'attha Nīt'attha S (A 2.3.4-5/1:60), SD 2.6b.

for the dead is to literally and figuratively <u>bury</u> or <u>burn</u> them so that the living can move on wholesomely with their lives. Beyond this, such ceremonies can be, and often are, manipulated for superstitious, political and commercial ends.

4.3 Every age has its myths and mythology. Informed Buddhists today have direct access to the Buddhist Canon and a better understanding of the Teachings. There is also a growing overflow of monastic ritual duties, even a role-reversal, into the hands of lay Buddhists—indeed this is the return of ceremonial duties (such as the last rites) into the laity's hands.

In the Buddha's times, <u>a monastic was a *monk* or a *nun*, never a priest</u>, that is, one who performs ceremonies or acts as a religious intermediary on behalf of another. In his last days, the Buddha himself admonishes Ānanda:

Do not worry yourselves about the funeral arrangements, ¹⁹ Ānanda. You should strive for the highest goal, devote yourselves to the highest goal, and dwell with your minds tirelessly, zealously devoted to the highest goal. There are wise kshatriyas, wise brahmins, wise householders, who are devoted to the Tathāgata: they will take care of the Tathagata's funeral. (D 2:141)

In short, it was the lay people, not the monastics, who saw to the last rites of the Buddha's remains. Early Buddhism, in other words, is more concerned with the living, and living the Dharma. For, ultimately, there are not "dead," only passing moments, and a turning-point where the body must die, and the mind moves on according to our karma. How we act right now decides the quality of our life, and how it would be like in due course. ²⁰

5 Significance

5.1 How then, do monastics end up as priests, priestesses, ritual virtuosi and religious professionals? Basically, this starts with the urbanization of monastic order. In **the Anāgata,bhaya Sutta 4** (A 5.80), the Buddha warns us of the growing materialism in the order:

Monks, in the long road to the future, there will be monks who,

- (1) Longing for <u>fine robes</u>, will forsake rag-robes and leave the forest and solitary dwelling, and move into urban areas and metropolises, and commit many improper and unseemly deeds...
- (2) Longing for good almsfood..., will move into urban areas and metropolises, and commit many improper and unseemly deeds...
- (3) Longing for <u>comfortable quarters</u> ("beds and seats")..., will move into urban areas and metropolises, and commit many improper and unseemly deeds...
- (4) Living with <u>nuns</u>, <u>probationers and novices</u>, will not delight in the holy life and either will commit some foul deed or return to lay life.
- (5) Living with <u>monastery attendants and novices</u>, will enjoy their various hoarded goods, and mark out their lands and crops. (A 5.80/3:108-110)
- **5.2** In short, the urban monks are just as likely—perhaps even more so due to their surplus time and income—to enjoy the pleasures of senses. In the Buddha's words recorded **the Maṇi,cūḷaka Sutta** (S 42.10):

For whomever gold and silver are allowable, for him the 5 cords of sense-pleasure are allowable, too. For whomever the 5 cords of sense-pleasure are allowable, you can for sure consider him as one who neither has the quality of a recluse nor is he a son of the Sakya. (S 42.10/4:326)

5.3 On the bright side, after 2500 years, we still have practitioners of the forest tradition in Sri Lanka and SE Asia who keep closely to the Buddha's Teachings. We also see the growing trend of serious lay practitioners, teachers and workers. In terms of spiritual commitment, while the monastic forest tradition

_

¹⁹ "The funeral arrangements," *sarīra,pūja,* lit "worship of the body." For an important discussion, see Schopen, 1997:99-113 (ch 6).

²⁰ On "What really are pretas?" see SD 48.2 (3.2.4).

lies at the heart of the Dharma-centred society, the serious lay workers form a sort of second concentric circle. The third circle comprises the laymen willing to learn from both and to work for their own spiritual liberation. Others perhaps stand and wait outside the walls.

6 Insights into merit and rebirth

- **6.1** The Jāṇussoṇi Sutta presents some very interesting insights into early Buddhism. There is, for example, a whole section [§7] that shows the complex manner how good and bad karma interact in one's life. One who is in the habit of giving gifts to religious people but follows the tenfold course of unwholesome action (or more simply, breaking the five precepts), brings one a good rebirth, but as well-cared pets!
- **6.2** On the other hand, one who keeps to the tenfold course of wholesome actions *and* gives gifts to religious practitioners enjoy sense-pleasures fitting their station whether as human beings or as divine beings. This insight should be reflected upon alongside the Buddha's statement in **the Nibbedhika (Pari-yāya) Sutta** (A 6.63) that <u>sense-objects</u> are not "sensual objects" "but in the Noble Discipline, they are 'cords of sensual desire'."
- **6.3** Another important insight found in this Sutta is found in §6 where it is stated that besides blood relatives, <u>any well-meaning person may dedicate merit to the departed</u>. While the brahmanical śrāddha can only be performed by the departed's son, the Buddhist merit-dedication is not merely a family affair, that is, there is a broader concept of "family" beyond the biological. See, for example, **the Paṭhavī Sutta** (S 15.2/2:179) where in our seemingly endless journey in *saṃsāra*, it is difficult to meet one who has not been a relative.
- **6.4** Compare the passage on the karmic fate of the one who gives alms but does not keep the precepts [§§7b-e] with **the Sumanā Rāja,kumārī Sutta** (A 5.31), where we have a case of one who keeps the precepts but does not give alms. In this latter case, the morally virtuous (who does not give) will still be reborn in a happy or heavenly state, but he would not enjoy the greater happiness of one who is both morally virtuous and practises charitable giving.²²
- **6.5** In the Dānûpapatti Sutta (A 8.35), the Buddha briefly states that we are capable of actually choosing a happy rebirth on these two conditions:²³
 - (1) we must make a sustained and fervent wish to be reborn in that happy state; and, more importantly,
 - (2) we must be morally virtuous.

The (Saddha) Jāṇussoṇi Sutta (A 10.177) explains the second point—that of being morally virtuous—as the keeping to the 10 courses of wholesome karma [§§7fg].

Peta, vatthu: Primary sources

- Pv:BK Elucidation of the Intrinsic Meaning, the so-named Commentary on the Petavatthu [Paramatthadīpanī] tr U Ba Kyaw & P. Masefield, London: PTS, 1980.
- Pv:G Stories of the Departed (*Peta-Vatthu*) together with excerpts from the frame stories from Dhammapāla's Commentary. Tr Henry Snyder Gehman, London: Pali Text Society, 1938, rev 1973. See Intro pp ix-xii.

Readings [see Bibliography for further details]

- (1) Heinz Bechert, "Buddha-field and transfer of merit in Therayada source." 1991-1992.
- (2) Har Dayal, The Bodhisattva Doctrine, 1970:188-193.
- (3) Richard Gombrich, "Merit transference in Sinhalese Buddhism," 1971.
- (4) Peter Harvey, An Introduction to Buddhism: Teachings, history and practices, 1990:42-44.
- (5) Maria Hibbets, "The ethics of esteem." On śrāddha or Vedic ancestral worship, 2000.

²¹ A 6.63.3/3:411 @ SD 6.11.

²² A 5.31/3:32-34 @ SD 22.14.

²³ A 3.85/4:239-241 @ SD 23.12.

- (6) Charles F Keyes, "Merit-transference in the kammic theory of popular Theravada Buddhism." 1983.
- (7) James P McDermott, "Sadhina Jātaka: A case against the transfer of merit," 1974.
- (8) G P Malalasekera, "Transference of merit' in Ceylonese Buddhism," 1967.
- (9) Duane Pang, "The P'u-Tu ritual," 1977.
- (10) Michael Pye, "Merit," The Encyclopedia of Religion, 1986
- (11) Bruce R Reichenbach, The Law of Karma: A philosophical study, 1990.
- (12) George J Tanabe, Jr, "Merit and merit-making," Encyclopedia of Buddhism, 2003.
- (13) Mariko Namba Walter, "Ancestors," in Encyclopedia of Buddhism, 2003.
- (14) A Wezler, "On the gaining of merit and the incurring of demerit through the agency of others," 1997.

The Discourse to Jāṇussoṇi (on Ancestor Worship)

A 10.177

[269] 1 Then the brahmin Jāṇussoṇi approached the Blessed One, and having gone up to the Blessed One, exchanged courtesies with him.

Having exchanged courtesies, he sat down at one side.

Do the departed receive our offerings?

- 1.2 Sitting thus at one side, the brahmin Jānussoni said this to the Blessed One:
- 1.3 ²⁴ Master Gotama, we are brahmins. We make offerings, we worship ancestors, ²⁵ saying:
- 'May this giving accrue onto (our) relations and blood relatives;²⁶ may (our) departed (*peta*) relations and blood relatives partake of this giving!²⁷

Surely, Master Gotama, this giving accrues onto (our) relations and blood relatives; surely, the departed relations and blood relatives partake of this giving."²⁸

- 1.4 "In the right place, brahmin, they accrue, not in the wrong place."²⁹
- "Master Gotama, what is the right place where it would accrue, what is not the right place?"

36

²⁴ From here [§1c] until §9, qu by Dhammapāla (**PvA 27 f**) to explain why *only* pretas benefit from dedication of merit.

 $^{^{25}}$ Mayam assu bho gotama brāhmaṇa nāma. Dānāni dema saddhāni karoma. Saddhāni, acc 3 pl of saddha, "ancestral offerings," Skt śrāddha (note the short final -a, whereas saddhā, meaning "faith," has a long final $-\bar{a}$). A brahmanical ceremony (social and religious) to honour of the departed as well as an annual memorial ritual. Called $pu\tilde{n}\tilde{n}ani$ in quotation at PvA 27. See Intro (2) above.

²⁶ "Blood relatives" (*ñāti,sālohita*), which Comy glosses as those of the same blood, connected by the same womb, such as sons and daughters, and other such connections (*samāna,lohite eka,yoni,sambadhe putta,dhītâdayo avasesa,bandhave ca*, SnA 2:447,7); or *nāti* as "on the side of mothers-in-law and fathers-in-law" (*sassu,sasura,-pakkhikā*) (MA 1:159; SA 3:286; AA 2:333, 5:51) and *sā,lohitā* as "related by the same blood, such as by father, grandfather, etc" (*eka,lohita,sambaddhā pīti,pitāmahâdayo*) (MA 1:159; AA 5:51), "of the same blood, such as brother, sister, uncle, etc" (*samāna,lohitā bhāti,bhagini,mātulâdayo*) (SA 3:286), "of the same blood, such as brothers, sisters, etc" (*samāna,lohitā bhāti,bhagini,ādayo*) (AA 2:333).

²⁷ Idam dānam petānam ñāti,sālohitānam upakappatu, idam dānam petā ñāti,sālohitā paribhuñjantu (A 10.177.-1/5:269). Cf **Tirokuḍḍa S:** ito dinnam petānam upakkapati (Kh 7.8), SD 2.7. See Intro (3) above.

²⁸ Kacci tam, bho gotama, dānam petānam ñāti,sālohitānam upakappati; kacci te petā ñāti,sālohitā tam dānam paribhuñjantî ti?

²⁹ *Thāne kho, brāhmaṇa, upakappati, no aṭṭhānê 'ti*, alt tr "It is possible, brahmin, for it to accrue, not impossible." Qu at PvA 27,24.

The wrong places (atthana)

2 (1) "Here, brahmin, a certain person is³⁰

1 one who takes life pānâtipātī, 2 one who takes the not-given ādinn'ādānī, 3 one who indulges in sexual misconduct kāmesu micchācārī, 4 one who speaks false speech musāvādī, 5 one who speaks divisive speech pisunā, vāco, 6 one who utters harsh speech pharusā, vāco, 7 one who utters useless talk samphappalāpī, 8 one who is covetous abhijjālu, vyāpanna, citto, 9 one who is malevolent micchā,diṭṭhiko.31 10 one who has false views

With the body's breaking up, after death, he arises in **hell** (*niraya*). There he keeps going on the food taken by hell-beings, and so he remains there.

For, brahmin, while he remains there, it is *not* a place where [*not* possible that] the giving would accrue to him.

3 (2) Here again, brahmin, a certain person is one who takes life, one who takes the not-given, one who indulges in sexual misconduct, one who speaks false speech, one who speaks divisive speech, one who utters harsh speech, one who utters useless talk, one who is covetous, one who is malevolent,

one who has false views.

With the body's breaking up, after death, he arises in **the animal womb**. There he keeps going on the food taken by animals, and so he remains there.

For, brahmin, while he remains there, it is *not* a place where [*not* possible that] the giving would accrue to him.

(3) Here again, brahmin, a certain person is one who abstains from taking life pānâtipātā pativirato, one who abstains from taking the not-given ādinn'ādānā pativirato, one who abstains from sexual misconduct kāmesu micchācārā pativirato, one who abstains from false speech musāvādā pativirato, one who abstains from divisive speech pisunāya [270] vācāya pativirato, one who abstains from harsh speech pharusāya vācāya paţivirato, one who abstains from useless talk samphappalāpā pativirato, one who is not covetous anabhijjālu, one who is not malevolent avyāpanna, citto, one who has right views sammā, ditthiko.

With the body's breaking up, after death, he will arise in the company of **human beings**. There he keeps going on the food taken by humans, and so he remains there.

³⁰ These are the 10 unwholesome courses of karma (akusala kamma,patha): (1-3) bodily karma; (4-7) verbal karma; (8-10) mental karma. See **Sāleyyaka S** (M 41/1:285-290), SD 5.7.

These are the 10 unwholesome courses of action (*akusala kamma,patha*) (D 3:269, 290; M 1:287; A5:266, 275-278); their wholesome counterparts are the 10 wholesome courses of action (*kusala kamma,patha*) [§4].

For, brahmin, while he remains there, it is *not* a place where [*not* possible that] the giving would accrue to him.

```
(4) Here again, brahmin, a certain person is one who abstains from taking life, one who abstains from taking the not-given, one who abstains from sexual misconduct, one who abstains from false speech, one who abstains from divisive speech, one who abstains from harsh speech, one who abstains from useless talk, one who is not covetous, one who is not malevolent, one who has right views.
```

With the body's breaking up, after death, he will arise in the company of **the devas**. There he keeps going on the food taken by devas, and so he remains there.

For, brahmin, while he remains there, it is *not* a place where [*not* possible that] the giving would accrue to him.

The right place (thāna)

- 6 (5) Here again, brahmin, a certain person is one who takes life, one who takes the not-given, one who indulges in sexual misconduct, one who speaks false speech, one who speaks divisive speech, one who utters harsh speech, one who utters useless talk, one who is covetous, one who is malevolent, one who has false views.
- 6.2 With the body's breaking up, after death, he arises in **the realm of the departed**.³² There he keeps going on the food taken by the departed beings, and so he remains there. But whatever <u>friends</u>, <u>companions</u>, <u>relatives</u>, <u>or blood relations</u>³³ offer here sustains him there, by that (offering) he remains there.³⁴

For, brahmin, while he remains there, it is a place where [is possible that] the giving would accrue to him."

³² *Petti,visava*: see **Tiro,kudda S** (Kh 7 = Pv 1.5), SD 2.7 (3).

³³ It is important to note here that besides blood relatives, any well-meaning person may dedicate merit to the departed. While the brahmanical śrāddha [Intro (2)] can only be performed by the departed's son, the Buddha merit-dedication is not merely a family affair, that is, there is a broader concept of "family" beyond the biological. See, eg, **Paṭhavī S** (S 15.2/2:179) where in our seemingly endless journey in saṁsāra, it is difficult not to meet one who has been a relative.

³⁴ "Sustains him there, by that (offering) he remains there," *tena so tattha yāpeti, tena so tattha tiṭṭhati*, lit "by that he is sustained there; by that he remains there." Here we have perhaps the earliest Buddhist conception of pretas, ie, simply what we today would know as "the departed." However, they are not a realm unto themselves, but refer to those who because of a poorly cultivated mind, such as dying with great attachment to their erstwhile existence or possessions, are stuck in a sort of intermediate realm or limbo. These beings, if they are in our proximity, can be liberated through our lovingkindness cultivation when directed towards them. On **pretas**, see **Tiro,kuḍḍa S** (Kh 7 = PV 1.5), SD 2.7 (3).

³⁵ On the <u>irony</u> of this statement, see (1.5.2). On <u>how to help the departed</u>, see **Tiro,kuḍḍa S** (Kh 7 = Pv 1.5), SD 2.7. On <u>how a preta is liberated</u>, see SD 2.7 (1.1+1.4).

Departed relatives are always there

7 "Suppose, master Gotama, that the departed relative or blood relation <u>does not arise there</u>, who would partake of the offering?"

"Then, brahmin, *other* departed relatives or blood relations who have arisen there would partake of that offering."

8³⁶ "Suppose, master Gotama, neither that departed relative or blood relation, nor other relatives or blood relations were to arise there, who then would partake of the offering?"

"That, brahmin, is impossible, there is no way that that realm would, after such a long time, be empty of [271] departed relations or blood relatives.³⁷

9 For, indeed, brahmin, the giver is never fruitless."³⁸

Bad rebirth, good treatment³⁹

10 "Has master Gotama any idea regarding the impossible?"⁴⁰

"Brahmin, I do have an idea regarding the impossible!"

11 THE CHARITABLE, BUT IMMORAL. Here, brahmin, a certain person is

one who takes life $p\bar{a}n\hat{a}tip\bar{a}t\bar{t}$, one who takes the not-given $\bar{a}dinn'\bar{a}d\bar{a}n\bar{t}$,

one who indulges in sexual misconduct *kāmesu micchācārī*,

one who speaks false speech

one who speaks divisive speech

one who utters harsh speech

one who utters useless talk

one who is covetous

one who is malevolent

one who has false views

musāvādī,

pisunā,vāco,

pharusā,vāco,

samphappalāpī,

abhijjālu,

vyāpanna,citto,

micchā,diṭṭhiko.

12 (But) he is *a giver* of food, drink, cloth, vehicle, garlands, scents, ointments, bed, dwelling, and lights to an ascetic or a brahmin.

REBIRTH AS A WELL-TREATED ELEPHANT. With the body's breaking up, after death, he arises in the company of **elephants**. There he would be *a recipient of food, drink, garlands and various adornments*.

13 Here, brahmin, whoever takes life,

³⁶ From hereon, I have added para numbers, not numbered in Ee.

³⁷ Aṭṭhānaṁ kho etaṁ brāhmaṇa anavakāso, yan taṁ ṭhānaṁ vivittaṁ assa iminā dīghena addhunā, yad idaṁ petehi ñāti,sālohitehi. (A 10.177/5:270 f). See Paṭhavī S (S 15.2/2:179). On our being a common family through time, see Mātā S (S 15.14/2:189), Pitā S (S 15.15/2:189), Bhātā S (S 15.16/2:189), Bhaginī S (S 15.17/2:189), Putta S (S 15.18/190), Dhītā S (S 15.9/2:190).

 $^{^{38}}$ Api ca, brāhmaṇa, dāyako'pi anipphalo [§§35-36]. **A-ni-p,phala**, "not without fruit, not unrewarded, not without merit," a double neg (**Udena S,** U 7.10/79,21, pl $\sim \bar{a}[ni]$; = na nipphalā sampatta,sāmañāa,phalā, UA 384) = DhA 1:222,2; (**Saddha**) **Jāṇussoṇi S** (A 10.177/5:271,1, 273,8 f, dāyako pi \sim 0), qu PvA 28,27); **Tirokuḍḍa S,** dāyakā ca $\sim \bar{a}$, Khp 7.6/6* (KhpA 212) = Pv 1.5.5/11* (PvA 28) \neq 18. The PvA qu that starts at §1c ends here (PvA 27 f)..

 $^{^{39}}$ Cf the case where one keeps the precepts, but does *not* give: the one who gives is said to surpass the non-giver in life-span, beauty, happiness, honour, and power: see **Sumanā S** (A 5.31/3:32-34), SD 22.14.

⁴⁰ Aṭṭhāne pi bhavam Gotamo parikappam vadatī ti, lit "Could master Gotama speak regarding an assumption (parikappa) regarding the impossible." Parikappa means "inclination, determination" (DPL), "1. preparation, intention, stratagem (Tha 940); 2. assumption, supposition, surmise (A 1:197, 5:271; DhsA 308)" (PED); Skt parikalpa: "surmise, assumption, hypothesis, figment of imagination" (BHSD). I render parikappa here and foll sentence as "idea": cf "stratagem," Tha:N 940 f. In other words, the question is about what kind of karmic fruit is impossible when certain actions (say, moral virtue) is missing.

```
takes the not-given, indulges in sexual misconduct, speaks false speech, speaks divisive speech, utters harsh speech, utters useless talk, is covetous, is malevolent, has false views.
```

(on that account), with the body's breaking up, after death, arises in the company of *elephants*.

- **14** (But) since he is *a giver of alms* to an ascetic or a brahmin, he would there be a recipient of food, drink, garlands and various adornments.
 - 15 THE CHARITABLE, BUT IMMORAL. Here, brahmin, a certain person

takes life,

takes the not-given,

indulges in sexual misconduct,

speaks false speech,

speaks divisive speech,

utters harsh speech,

utters useless talk,

is covetous.

is malevolent.

has false views.

16 (But) he is *a giver* of food, drink, cloth, vehicle, garlands, scents, ointments, bed, dwelling, and lights to an ascetic or a brahmin.

REBIRTH AS A WELL-TREATED HORSE. With the body's breaking up, after death, he will arise in the company of **horses**. There he would be a recipient of food, drink, garlands and various adornments.

17 Here, brahmin, whoever

takes life,

takes the not-given,

indulges in sexual misconduct.

speaks false speech,

speaks divisive speech,

utters harsh speech,

utters useless talk.

is covetous.

is malevolent,

has false views.

- will, with the body's breaking up, after death, arise in the company of *horses*.
- **18** (But) since he is a *giver of alms* to an ascetic or a brahmin, he would there be a recipient of food, drink, garlands and various adornments.
 - 19 THE CHARITABLE, BUT IMMORAL. Here, brahmin, a certain person

takes life.

takes the not-given,

indulges in sexual misconduct,

speaks false speech,

speaks divisive speech,

utters harsh speech,

utters useless talk,

is covetous.

is malevolent,

has false views.

20 (But) he is *a giver* of food, drink, cloth, vehicle, garlands, scents, ointments, bed, dwelling, and lights to an ascetic or a brahmin.

REBIRTH AS A WELL-TREATED COW. With the body's breaking up, after death, he will arise in the company of **cows**. There he would be a recipient of food, drink, garlands and various adornments.

21 Here, brahmin, whoever

takes life,

takes the not-given,

indulges in sexual misconduct,

speaks false speech,

speaks divisive speech,

utters harsh speech,

utters useless talk,

is covetous.

is malevolent.

has false views.

will, with the body's breaking up, after death, arise in the company of cows.

- **22** (But) since he is *a giver* of alms to an ascetic or a brahmin, he would there be a recipient of food, drink, garlands and various adornments.
 - 23 THE CHARITABLE, BUT IMMORAL. Here, brahmin, a certain person

takes life,

takes the not-given,

indulges in sexual misconduct,

speaks false speech,

speaks divisive speech,

utters harsh speech,

utters useless talk,

is covetous, [272]

is malevolent,

has false views,

24 (But) he is *a giver* of food, drink, cloth, vehicle, garlands, scents, ointments, bed, dwelling, and lights to an ascetic or a brahmin.

REBIRTH AS A WELL-TREATED CHICKEN. With the body's breaking up, after death, he will arise in the company of **chickens**. There he would be a recipient of food, drink, garlands and various adornments.

25 Here, brahmin, whoever

takes life,

takes the not-given,

indulges in sexual misconduct,

speaks false speech,

speaks divisive speech,

utters harsh speech,

utters useless talk,

is covetous.

is malevolent,

has false views.

will, with the body's breaking up, after death, arise in the company of chickens.

26 (But) since he is a giver of alms to an ascetic or a brahmin, he would there be a recipient of food, drink, garlands and various adornments.

Good rebirth, good treatment

27 GOOD HUMAN REBIRTH. Here again, brahmin, a certain person is

one who abstains from taking life pānâtipātā pativirato, one who abstains from taking the not-given ādinn'ādānī pativirato, one who abstains from sexual misconduct kāmesu micchācārī pativirato, one who abstains from false speech musāvādā pativirato, one who abstains from divisive speech pisunāya vācāya pativirato, one who abstains from harsh speech pharusāya vācāya pativirato, one who abstains from useless talk samphappalāpā pativirato, one who is not covetous anabhijjālu, avyāpanna, citto, one who is not malevolent one who has right views sammā, ditthiko.

28 (And) he is a giver of food, drink, cloth, vehicle, garland, scents, ointments, bed, dwelling, and lights to an ascetic or a brahmin. With the body's breaking up, after death, he will arise in the company of **human beings**. There he would enjoy⁴¹ the 5 cords of human sensual pleasures.⁴²

29 Here, brahmin, whoever abstains from taking life, abstains from taking the not-given, abstains from sexual misconduct, abstains from false speech, abstains from divisive speech, abstains from harsh speech, is not covetous, is not malevolent, has right views.

will, with the body's breaking up, after death, arise in the company of human beings.

30 Since he is a giver of alms to an ascetic or a brahmin, he would there enjoy *the 5 cords of human sense-pleasures*. ⁴³

31 DIVINE REBIRTH. Here again, brahmin, a certain person

abstains from taking life, abstains from taking the not-given, abstains from sexual misconduct, abstains from false speech, abstains from divisive speech, abstains from harsh speech, is not covetous, is not malevolent, has right views,

32 (and) he is a giver of food, drink, cloth, vehicle, garlands, scents, ointments, bed, dwelling, and lights to an ascetic or a brahmin. With the body's breaking up, after death, he will arise in the company of **the devas**. ⁴⁴ There [273] he would enjoy the 5 cords of divine sensual pleasures. ⁴⁵

⁴¹ "He would enjoy," $l\bar{a}bh\bar{t}$, lit "he is a recipient of," or "attainer; who enjoys" (A 1:24, 2:85 f, 4:400; Pug 51; Vbh 332), as in $jh\bar{a}na,l\bar{a}bh\bar{t}$, attainer of absorption (It 42; DA 3:86; AA 1:231; ThaA 1:35, 2:250; ApA 122; J 1:140, 2:438; PmA 3:563)..

⁴² Mānusakānam pañcannam kāma, guṇānam.

⁴³ The PTS tr by F L Woodward abruptly ends here (A:W 5:183)! Many scholars have voiced the serious and numerous inaccuracies and deficiencies in Woodward's tr of the Anguttara. Otherwise, surprisingly, this sutta has to date (2008) not been translated into English.

⁴⁴ Saṅkhār'upapatti S (M 120) teaches how one might choose a happier rebirth, up to the highest heavens: see SD 3 4

⁴⁵ *Dibbānam pañcannam kāma,gunānam*. His clearly alludes to rebirth in the sense-world heavens, but not the higher realms of form (*rūpa,loka*) or of formlessness (*arūpa,loka*), which are the result of deep meditation.

33 Here, brahmin, whoever abstains from taking life, abstains from taking the not-given, abstains from sexual misconduct, abstains from false speech, abstains from divisive speech, abstains from harsh speech,

is not covetous, is not malevolent.

has right views,

will, with the body's breaking up, after death, arise in the company of the devas.

- 35 Since he is a giver of alms to an ascetic or a brahmin, he would there enjoy the 5 cords of divine sense-pleasures.
 - 35.2 For indeed, brahmin, the giver is never fruitless."46

Jāņussoņi goes for refuge

36 "It's marvellous, master Gotama! It's wonderful, master Gotama! For thus far it is enough just to give gifts, to perform ancestral offerings, that the giver is never fruitless."

"That's the way it is, brahmin. The giver is never fruitless."

37 "Excellent, master Gotama, excellent! Just as if one were to place upright what had been overturned, or were to reveal what was hidden, or were to show the way to one who was lost, or were to hold up a lamp in the dark so that those with eyes could see forms, in the same way, in numerous ways, the Dharma has been made clear by the master Gotama.

I go to the master Gotama for refuge, to the Dharma, and to the community of monks. May the master One remember me as a layman who has gone for refuge from this day forth, for life."

— evam —

Bibliography

[See also Tiro,kudda Sutta = SD 2.7 Bibliography]

Primary sources

Peta.vatthu

Pv:BK Elucidation of the Intrinsic Meaning, the so-named Commentary on the Petavatthu

[Paramatthadīpanī] tr U Ba Kyaw & P. Masefield, London: PTS, 1980.

Pv:G Stories of the Departed (*Peta-Vatthu*) together with excerpts from the frame stories from Dhammapāla's Commentary. Tr Henry Snyder Gehman, London: Pali Text

Society, 1938, rev 1973. See Intro pp ix-xii.

Secondary sources

Amore, Roy Clayton

"The concept and practice of doing merit in early Theravada Buddhism." PhD disserta-

tion, Columbia University, 1971. Basham, A L

... ,

⁴⁶ *Dāyako pi anipphalô ti.* The pl form of this sentence—*dāyakā ca anipphalā*—appears in **Tiro,kuḍḍa S** (Kh 7.6b), SD 2.7.

1986 *The Origins and Development of Classical Hinduism.* [Orig lecture nn] Ed & annot Kenneth G. Zysk. Boston: Beacon Press, 1986.

Bechert, Heinz

"Buddha-field and transfer of merit in Theravāda source." *Indo-Iranian Journal* 1991-1992 vol 34-35:95-108.

Dayal, Har

1932 *The Bodhisattva Doctrine in Buddhist Sanskrit Literature*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1932. Repr Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1970, 1975. pp188-193.

Gombrich, Richard

1971a Precept and Practice: Traditional Buddhism in the rural highlands of Ceylon. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1971.

"Merit transference in Sinhalese Buddhism: A case study of the interaction between doctrine and practice." *History of Religions* 11, Nov 1971:203-219.

Hara, Minoru

1967-68 "Transfer of merit." *Adyar Library Bulletin, Dr Raghavan Vol*, 31-32 1967-68:382-411. "Tapo-dhana." *Acta Asiatica* 19, 1970:58-76.

Harvey, Peter

1990 *An Introduction to Buddhism: Teachings, history and practices.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990:42-44.

Hibbets, Maria

"The ethics of esteem." [On śrāddha or Vedic ancestral worship.] *Journal of Buddhist Ethics* 7 2000:26-42.

Keyes, Charles F

"Merit-transference in the kammic theory of popular Theravāda Buddhism." In *Karma: An anthropological inquiry*, ed Charles F Keyes & E Valentine Daniel. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1983:261-286 (ch 10).

Law, B C

1936 *The Buddhist Conception of Spirits.* 2nd rev ed. London: Luzac, 1936. Repr Varanasi: Bharatiya Publishing House, 1974.

McDermott, James P

"Sadhina Jātaka: A case against the transfer of merit." *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 94:385-387.

Malalasekera, G P

"Transference of merit' in Ceylonese Buddhism." *Philosophy East and West* 17 1967: 85-90.

Mitchell, Donald W

1971 "Analysis in Theravāda Buddhism." *Philosophy East and West* 21 1971:23-32.

"Buddhist theories of causation—commentary." *Philosophy East and West* 25 1975:101-106.

Pang, Duane

"The *P'u-Tu* ritual." In (edd) Michael Saso & David W Chappell, *Buddhist and Taoist Studies 1*. Asian Studies Program, University of Hawaii. Honolulu: University Press of Hawaii, 1977. 1977:95-122 (ch 5).

Pye, Michael

"Merit." In *The Encyclopedia of Religion* (ed-in-chief, Mircea Eliade, with Charles J Adams), 1986:380-388.

Reichenbach, Bruce R

1990 *The Law of Karma: A philosophical study*. Houndsmill & London: Macmillan, 1990:11, 152-168 (ch 10).

Reynolds Frank E & Earle H Waugh

1977 (eds) *Religious Encounters with Death: Insights from the history and anthropology of religions.* University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1977.

Schopen, Gregory

Bones, Stones, and Buddhist Monks: Collected papers on the archaeology, epigraphy, and texts of monastic Buddhism in India. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 1997:23-55 (Ch 2: Two problems in the history of Indian Buddhism: The layman/monk distinction and the doctrine of the transference of merit.)

Tanabe, Jr, George J

[EB:B] "Merit and merit-making," in *Encyclopedia of Buddhism*, sv.

Walter, Mariko Namba

[EB:B] "Ancestors," in *Encyclopedia of Buddhism*, sv.

Wezler, A

"On the gaining of merit and the incurring of demerit through the agency of others." In S Lienhard & I Piovano, eds, *Lex et Litterae*. F S Oscar Botto. Alessandria, 1997:567-589.

Wynne, Alexander

"How old is the Suttapiṭaka? The relative value of textual and epigraphical sources for the study of early Indian Buddhism." St John's College, 2003.

111016 120823 141119